Page 1 of 4

- 1. **Jurisdiction:** The jurisdiction of this Court is based upon 28 U.S.C. § 1346 and 42 U.S.C. § 233. Plaintiff has fully compiled with all prerequisites to jurisdiction in this court having exhausted all administrative remedies required by law.
- 2. **Service:** Plaintiff Kathleen Immediato filed an amended complaint on August 29, 2007, eliminating United States Department of Veteran Affairs as a defendant and replacing it with Defendant United States of America. This amended complaint also dropped her causes of action for Willful Misconduct, Fraud, and Constructive Fraud. Defendant United States of America was served on September 11, 2007. Plaintiff does not anticipate serving any additional parties or filing any additional amendments to the pleadings.
- 3. Facts: This case arises out of the death of Ralph Immediato on July 29, 2004. On June 30, 2004, Mr. Immediato was accepted for a thirty day "respite" residency at the Martinez Outpatient Clinic and Center for Rehabilitation and Extended Care ("CREC"). Plaintiff contends that a urinalysis performed by CREC indicated the presence of a urinary tract infection. Plaintiff contends that Defendant initially failed to treat Mr. Immediato's condition, then chose an inadequate course of treatment, and finally refused to transfer Mr. Immediato when the treatment was shown to be ineffective. Plaintiff contends that Mr. Immediato died of sepsis as a direct result of Defendant's negligence and recklessness. Plaintiff brings suit for professional negligence, wrongful death, survival, and elder abuse. Defendant denies liability for all of plaintiff's claims and states that its agents and employees acted with due care and diligence, in conformity with the standard of care, at all times when caring for Mr. Immediato. Defendant further states that Mr. Immediato's death was not proximately caused by a negligent wrongful act or omission or breach of the applicable standard of care by an employee of the United States.
- 4. **Legal Issues**: It is unknown at this time if the parties will have a dispute concerning legal issues.
- 5. **Motions**: Defendant previously filed a motion to dismiss the complaint for failure to name the United States as the proper defendant and for failure to state a claim for willful misconduct, fraud, and constructive fraud. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint, rendering

Narrowing of Issues: None anticipated at this time.

27

28

16.

MDL panel.

Document 22

Filed 09/21/2007

Page 4 of 4

Case 3:07-cv-03008-MMC